REMARKS

The undersigned acknowledge the courteous treatment received by the undersigned during the interview held on Jan. 14, 2003.

During the interview, the Examiner suggested that language with regard to the length of the bottle be inserted in the claims. The Examiner apparently felt that the use of the extension member to hold the ornament beyond the length of the bottle would have more significance if a short bottle was being claimed.

Appropriate language has been inserted into claims 4 and 8. Clearly, there is no suggestion in the art of record for the extension member holding the ornament beyond the length of the bottle.

The Examiner should call the undersigned or Mr. Kroll if other changes are required to obtain allowance of the application.

A favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD BELKIN
Reg. No. 18,063
4515 Willard Ave. #1210-S
Chevy Chase, MD 20815
Tel 301-656-3734

Dated: Jan. 14, 2003

In the Claims

Marked up version showing changes:

4.   (twice amended) An infant eye trainer for a baby bottle as recited in claim 1, wherein said extension member is adapted to permit deployment of said ornament beyond a distal end of said bottle, said bottle being about 4 inches long and said extension member extends at least about 2 inches past said gripping means and said ornament being at least 6 inches from the eyes of said infant.

8.   (twice amended) The infant eye trainer as claimed in claim 7, wherein said elongated member is adapted to permit deployment of said ornament beyond a distal end of said baby bottle while said infant is using said baby bottle, said bottle being about 4 inches long and said extension member extends at least about 2 inches past said gripping means and said ornament being at least 6 inches from the eyes of said infant.

10.   (twice amended) The infant eye trainer as claimed in claim [8] 7, wherein said visually stimulating ornament is positioned substantially 7 to 10 inches from the eyes of the baby when said gripping means is gripping the baby bottle.